



1 to diversity jurisdiction, Plaintiff and Defendant Ricky Noh are residents of California. (See  
2 Compl. ¶ 7, ECF No. 1; Acknowledgment of Assignment of J. ¶ 7, Ex. D to Compl., ECF No.  
3 1-4); *see also Chang v. Noh*, No. 2:17-cv-06205-RGK-JC (C.D. Cal. Aug. 22, 2017) (Compl. ¶  
4 3, ECF No. 1). Further, the two other Defendants to this action, MC Crew, Ltd. and The Style  
5 N, Inc., are corporations organized under California law and have their principal places of  
6 business in California. (See Compl. ¶¶ 8–9). Therefore, because all the parties to this action are  
7 California residents for jurisdictional purposes, the Court does not have diversity jurisdiction  
8 over this action.


9 Based on the foregoing, the Court finds that it has neither federal question nor diversity  
10 jurisdiction over this instant case.<sup>1</sup>

11 Accordingly,

12 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that this case is dismissed for lack of subject matter  
13 jurisdiction.

14 The Clerk of the Court is instructed to close the case.

15 **DATED** this 6 day of September, 2018.

16  
17   
18 \_\_\_\_\_  
19 Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge  
20 United States District Judge  
21  
22  
23

24 <sup>1</sup> Plaintiff requests that the Court transfer the instant action to the Central District of California and issue a  
25 declaration on the merits of its alter ego claim. (See Resp. to Order to Show Cause 2:12–15, ECF No. 44). The  
Court denies this request as it is without subject matter jurisdiction over this action. *See, e.g., Orff v. United*  
*States*, 358 F.3d 1137, 1149 (9th Cir. 2004) (“If jurisdiction is lacking at the outset, the district court has ‘no  
power to do anything with the case except dismiss.’”) (quoting *Morongo Band of Mission Indians v. Cal. State.*  
*Bd. of Equalization*, 858 F.2d 1376, 1380 (9th Cir. 1988)).